

# C Programming Language Overview

Author: Eric Laroche  
Copyright © 2004 Eric Laroche

*This paper may serve as a short overview of the C programming language.*

C is a general-purpose programming language which features economy of expression, modern control flow and data structures, and a rich set of operators. C wears well as one's experience with it grows. -- *K&R2* [*The C Programming Language* by Brian W. Kernighan and Dennis M. Ritchie] <sup>1</sup>

C *stands* for effectiveness of language, good style, sound design. <sup>1</sup> C typically uses a *compiler* <sup>2</sup>. C is *case-sensitive* [in its keywords and identifiers <sup>3</sup>].

It is recommended to *skip* this text's *footnote texts* on the *first sweep*.

<sup>1</sup> [*The C Programming Language*, Brian W. Kernighan, Dennis M. Ritchie, Prentice Hall, 2nd Ed. 1988, ISBN 0-13-110362-8] <sup>4</sup>

<sup>2</sup> A *compiler* is the tool [program] to translate a [higher-level] [programming] language [to a lower-level language, often into object files <sup>5</sup>].

<sup>3</sup> *Identifier case-sensitiveness* is not guaranteed for the link <sup>6</sup> phase.

<sup>4</sup> For other *C standards* [ISO, ANSI], see [links](#).

<sup>5</sup> An *object file* is the output of a *compiler* <sup>2</sup>, an *assembler* or a similar tool, usually [machine [language]] code that is *native* to a processor [or alternatively *byte-code* for some *abstract processor architecture* [that is usually *interpreted* on software that is called a *virtual machine*]].

<sup>6</sup> *Linking* is the process of generating a [binary] executable, a shared library, a re-linked object [file] <sup>5</sup> or similar, from *object files* <sup>5</sup>, *libraries* <sup>7</sup>, start-up object code [and possibly additional resources] <sup>8</sup>.

<sup>7</sup> A *library* [in the narrower sense] is a collection of compiled <sup>2</sup> source code files [so called *object files* <sup>5</sup>].

<sup>8</sup> The *link process* is usually *opaque* to a programmer, controlled by the *compiler driver program* <sup>9</sup>.

<sup>9</sup> The *compiler driver* [program] steers all phases <sup>10</sup> of compilation, from source code to binary.

<sup>10</sup> The original *compile phases* were *preprocessing*, *compiling*, *optimizing*, *assembling*, *linking*.

## Source code representation

C programming language source code is typically represented in *files* <sup>11</sup>. These *C code source files* typically carry a *file suffix* of `.c` <sup>12 13 14</sup>. A C file is called a *module* or *compilation unit* <sup>15</sup>.

C source code files do not have [mandatory] header fields [such as a preamble e.g. in the first source code line] <sup>16</sup>.

*Interfaces* are often represented in *header files*, that typically carry a file suffix of `.h`. Such *interfaces* consist of stuff that is used *inter-modular*, i.e. *between* different C source code files <sup>17 18 19</sup>.

<sup>11</sup> *Development environments* may chose to represent sources <sup>20</sup> in another way, e.g. in some kind of *repository* <sup>21</sup>.

<sup>12</sup> Other file suffixes are not usual <sup>22</sup>, although compilers often support alternatives by offering *language-specifying compiler options*.

<sup>13</sup> The *C compiler* [*driver program*] needs *input type information*, to know what to *do* (compile <sup>23</sup>, assemble <sup>24 25</sup>, or link).

<sup>14</sup> *Build systems* (such as `make`) typically expect well-known file suffixes too, to deduct file types without file contents lookup <sup>26</sup>.

<sup>15</sup> *Compilation units* are *compiled* <sup>2</sup> *independently* from other compilation units.

<sup>16</sup> There are no `/etc/magic` entries for C; the `file` tool deducts C code by heuristics only.

<sup>17</sup> The header file (interface) inclusion mechanism is a general one, i.e. one can include any file (text, code).

<sup>18</sup> These *interface files* can be used to specify *build dependencies* in software build environments. Large systems may compile faster if the interfaces are sufficiently fine granular <sup>27</sup>.

<sup>19</sup> *Header files* may represent interfaces of a whole library <sup>7</sup> [facade pattern].

<sup>20</sup> Not just C sources.

<sup>21</sup> *Repositories* may allow a more *fine granular code resolution* (e.g. function-granular) with more *meta information* (such as modification-author and comments) or just be *faster* than with file system based data accesses. *Repositories* may be implemented as a *file system layer abstraction* <sup>28</sup>, which makes it easy to use generic editors and build tools.

<sup>22</sup> Unlike with the *suboptimally* <sup>29</sup> chosen `.C` for the *C++ programming language*, that led to [more compatible] alternatives such as `.cc`, `.cxx`, `.cpp`, etc.

<sup>23</sup> Compiling possibly *different* languages.

<sup>24</sup> Assembling with or without prior [C-] preprocessing.

<sup>25</sup> The original compile *phases* <sup>10</sup> had assembly sources as an *intermediate form*.

<sup>26</sup> [C] *file contents interpretation* is *not an easy thing* to do <sup>16</sup>; make wouldn't be able to deduce a C file's contents.

<sup>27</sup> The focus lies however on providing *optimal interface layer abstractions*, not compilation speed.

<sup>28</sup> Most environments (typically the operation systems) allow the use of *generalized file systems*, typically by means of a *network file system* interface.

<sup>29</sup> The `.C` file suffix [note the upper case] is suboptimal with *case-insensitive filesystems*.

## Types

The *C programming language* is a quite <sup>30</sup> <sup>31</sup> *strongly typed* <sup>32</sup> <sup>33</sup> language. This means that *[data] types, variables and functions must* <sup>34</sup> *be declared* <sup>35</sup> before their use.

<sup>30</sup> For historical reason, the use of undeclared functions is still supported <sup>36</sup>.

<sup>31</sup> *Type aliases* (as defined by typedefs) may be used interchangeably; boolean and integer values are treated interchangeably <sup>37</sup>.

<sup>32</sup> C is a *typed* language, but not so much a *type-centered* language (such as C++, which implements means to *protect* data accesses).

<sup>33</sup> *Strongly typed* implies *type safe* <sup>38</sup>.

<sup>34</sup> Functions *should* be declared before used <sup>30</sup>.

<sup>35</sup> Note the difference between *declaration* and *definition* <sup>39</sup>. A data *definition* actually reserves space for the data, whereas a data *declaration* <sup>40</sup> introduces *variable name and type* only. A function *definition* provides the function's implementation, whereas a function *declaration* <sup>41</sup> introduces a *name and type* only. *Type definitions* do not per se generate code or data <sup>42</sup>, so a distinction to a 'type declaration' (such as with functions and variables) is not needed; however an *incomplete data type* <sup>43</sup> <sup>44</sup>, may be considered 'less than a definition'. Since a *definition* is an *implicit declaration* too, the programmer must ensure the explicit declaration is seen at definition location, to ensure *interface consistency*.

<sup>36</sup> The use of undeclared functions generally generates *compiler warnings* though.

<sup>37</sup> There is no *explicit* boolean data type, `int` is used. This may lead to possibly subtle bugs.

<sup>38</sup> *Type safe* means that a lot of problems with types are caught in the compilation stage.

<sup>39</sup> A *definition* is an *implicit declaration*, i.e. it is a *declaration in the broader sense*.

<sup>40</sup> *Data declarations (variable declarations)* are done using the `extern` keyword <sup>41</sup>.

<sup>41</sup> *Function declarations* do not require <sup>45</sup> the `extern` keyword as syntactical means, since definition and declaration (implementation and prototype) are distinguished by the former's *code block*.

<sup>42</sup> Unlike with the [more complex] C++ language, whose type (class) definition *may* implicitly generate code and/or data, e.g. a virtual function table, a default constructor, runtime type information [helper data], etc.

<sup>43</sup> *Incomplete data types* are introduced by typedefs on undefined structs <sup>46</sup>. Such a construct introduces a type *name* only, that can be used as pointer (reference) only <sup>44</sup>.

<sup>44</sup> *Incomplete data types* are used as an advanced decoupling (modularization) feature [bridge pattern].

<sup>45</sup> Function declarations (prototypes) *can use* a `extern` keyword though.

<sup>46</sup> E.g. `typedef struct T_st T;`

## Code and data

*C source code* mainly consists of *code and data, and type definitions* [mentioned in the previous chapter].

*Type definitions* appear *early* in a source code file or even separated in a header file [47](#), since they glue parts of code together and are needed by *both parts*.

*Code* is bound to *function names*, which are globally or module-wide [48](#) visible [49](#).

*Data* is [at least temporarily [50](#)] bound to *variables*. Data may be bound to fields of some *other data structure* [which itself must be bound to such fields or a variable [51](#)].

*Lexical stuff* is considered *comments* and *spacing* [52](#). *Comments* are made of *freely formatted text* inside `/*` ... `*/` [53](#).

A *simple preprocessor* supports *macros* [54](#), *file inclusion* [55](#) and *conditional compiling* [56](#).

<sup>47</sup> *Header files* need to be included sufficiently early [57](#).

<sup>48</sup> *Module* means a single C source code file, so module-wide means file-wide.

<sup>49</sup> C does not support *anonymous* functions [lambda expressions].

<sup>50</sup> E.g. temporarily bound to a `auto` variable and then returned as value.

<sup>51</sup> If this reference *chain* breaks [usually by a software bug], *memory* will *leak* [58](#).

<sup>52</sup> *Spacing* is usually discussed by *coding rules*.

<sup>53</sup> Comments cannot be *nested* [59](#).

<sup>54</sup> `#define`, with or without macro parameters, `#undef`.

<sup>55</sup> `#include`

<sup>56</sup> `#if`, `#endif`, `#elif`, `#else`, `#ifdef`, `#ifndef`.

<sup>57</sup> Function or data definitions *must* have seen their declarations too, to ensure *consistency*.

<sup>58</sup> C usually does not include *garbage collecting* that could clean up such *memory leaks*.

<sup>59</sup> `#if` *preprocessor directives* can be *nested* and can be used to *comment-out code* [60](#).

<sup>60</sup> E.g. inside `#if 0 ... #endif`.

## Functions

A C function (procedure, routine, method) has one entry point and possibly several exit points [61](#). A function establishes a *code block*, which is delimited by a pair of *braces* (`{ }`) [62](#).

Any code block can have its own set of *local variables* [63](#) (which can overwrite (hide) other occurrences of the same name). A function can have argument variables [64](#) (*parameters*), which are always passed *by-value* [65](#); *by-reference* can be implemented by using *pointers* to variables [66](#).

Function definitions cannot [and need not] be nested [67](#).

The *user function* that is called at application startup is `main` [68](#).

<sup>61</sup> *Several function exit points* are implemented by *multiple* `return` statements.

<sup>62</sup> Sample: `int isqr(int i) {return i * i;}`

<sup>63</sup> Such *local variables* can be *automatic* (stack based; exclusive to a stack frame) or *static* (data segment based; typically process-wide shared) [69](#) [70](#).

<sup>64</sup> C also supports *variable number of arguments* [71](#) [72](#).

<sup>65</sup> Calls *by-value* enable the programmer to use *any expressions* as *function arguments* [not just variable references [73](#)].

<sup>66</sup> 'Calls' *by-name* can be implemented by using *macros*.

<sup>67</sup> *Function nesting* would be used to further confine function scope [however, the existing file scope should be narrow enough, especially with small source files], and allow outer-level variable access [which however would weaken data encapsulation].

<sup>68</sup> `int main(void) {...}` or `int main(int argc, char** argv) {...}` or `int main(int argc, char** argv, char** envp) {...}`.

<sup>69</sup> Such a *static variable* is also known as *singleton [pattern]*.

<sup>70</sup> *Static variables* are not per-se *thread-safe*.

<sup>71</sup> As in `int printf(const char*, ...);`, where the first [format-] parameter specifies what follows.

<sup>72</sup> The *variable arguments* however are not *type-checked*.

<sup>73</sup> Not allowing *calls-by-value* probably would imply lots of not-so-elegant [temporary] variables.

## Program flow

C is designed to be a *terse programming language* (i.e. able to express *much* on a few lines or pages), so the number of *program flow keywords* is small <sup>74 75</sup>.

*Program flow* in a function is from top to bottom, executing statement by statement (a statement is terminated by `;` or it is a *compound statement* (a block) in braces), unless one of the following constructs is encountered.

*Loop constructs* are done with `while` [continuation test at the beginning of the loop], `do` [continuation test at the end of the loop] <sup>76</sup> and `for` [continuation test at the beginning of the loop; additional initializer code and per-loop code <sup>77</sup>]. *Loops* can be left <sup>78</sup> or short-cut by `break` and `continue` (and of course too by `return` and `goto`).

*Alternative code flows* are done by `if` and `else` <sup>79</sup>.

`switch/case/default` (and `break`) can be used when comparing to *compile-time constants* <sup>80 81</sup>.

`return` is used to *leave a function context*; `goto` allows *arbitrary jumps* inside *function code* <sup>82</sup>.

<sup>74</sup> See [links](#) for a list of the C programming language keywords, with a few comments.

<sup>75</sup> *Keywords* cannot be *reused* as identifiers [they're truly *reserved* words].

<sup>76</sup> `do {...}; while (...);` is about the same as `for (;;) {...; if (!(...)) break;}`.

<sup>77</sup> `for`'s *per-loop code* is usually used for *incrementers*, e.g. in `for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {...;}` [being roughly <sup>83</sup> equivalent to `i = 0; while (i < n) {...; i++;}`].

<sup>78</sup> However, there's no *multilevel-break* <sup>84</sup>.

<sup>79</sup> *Specially nested if/else lists* [*multi-way decisions*] are typically '*linearized*' in C code, e.g. `if (...) {...;} else if (...) {...;} else {...;}` instead of `if (...) {...;} else {if (...) {...;} else {...;}}` [all but terminal else-case do *not* establish nested blocks].

<sup>80</sup> The `switch` statement historically <sup>85</sup> allowed a faster dispatch <sup>86</sup>.

<sup>81</sup> An annoyance with the `switch` statement is the *reuse* of the `break` keyword, for which reason one cannot [by `break`] *leave an enclosing loop*.

<sup>82</sup> A `goto` may not be used to jump from one function to another <sup>87</sup>.

<sup>83</sup> Apart from behavior if `continue` is used.

<sup>84</sup> Can be done by `goto`.

<sup>85</sup> More recent analyzers/optimizers can quite reasonably handle and take advantage of *expression constantness* in `if/else` statements [and elsewhere] too.

<sup>86</sup> E.g. *jump table driven* dispatch.

<sup>87</sup> C is versatile enough to allow *library code* <sup>88</sup> being able to implement out-of-context jumps, e.g. with `long jmp`.

<sup>88</sup> `long jmp` and similar functions are found in [more general] library code <sup>89</sup> rather than in C source code, since they e.g. modify *special* <sup>90</sup> CPU registers, which can't be done in C <sup>91</sup>.

<sup>89</sup> *Libraries* <sup>7</sup> can be of *mixed-language*; the *standard C library* e.g. often contains some [platform-specific] assembly code compilations <sup>92</sup>.

<sup>90</sup> In `long jmp` most notably the *stack pointer register* <sup>93</sup>, to *adjust stack frames*.

<sup>91</sup> But in [platform-specific] *assembly* code.

<sup>92</sup> Either to implement things that can't be done in C, such as `longjmp` or `long long` number type multiplication [helper functions], or to provide performance-optimized implementations, e.g. for `memcpy`.

<sup>93</sup> In *stack-based* environments; [for very tiny environments [e.g. on small embedded systems]] a C environment can be implemented *without stack*.

## Primitive data types

C defines *integer numbers* of different sizes: `char` <sup>94</sup>, `short` [int], `int` <sup>95</sup>, `long` [int], `long long` [int] <sup>96 97</sup>, which can e.g. be found to be of sizes 1, 2, 4, 4, 8 bytes <sup>98</sup> [depending on *platform* and *compilation model* <sup>99</sup>]. Those integer types are (with exception of `char` <sup>100</sup>) implicitly signed, i.e. *operations* consider the numbers to carry a sign bit <sup>101</sup>). The `unsigned` modifier changes this behavior.

C defines *floating point numbers* of different sizes: `float`, `double`, `long double` <sup>96 97</sup>, which can e.g. be found to be of sizes 4, 8, 16 bytes. Floating point number types do not support `unsigned`.

*Implicit* (where applicable) and *explicit* conversion rules between these number types are defined.

`void` is used to specify an un-specified reference type <sup>102</sup>, an empty function parameter list <sup>103 104</sup> or a cast on a unused expression <sup>105</sup>.

<sup>94</sup> `char` is mainly used for [ASCII <sup>106</sup>] strings, i.e. [readable] *text* used in programs.

<sup>95</sup> `int` was assumed to fit the target CPU's native *register size*.

<sup>96</sup> The pattern here is to *re-apply* the `long` attribute.

<sup>97</sup> Not defined on every platform.

<sup>98</sup> C doesn't define the *exact* integer number sizes. However it defines that `long` is at least as large as `int`, which is at least as large as `short`.

<sup>99</sup> A compiler [environment] may allow e.g. different data pointer sizes, to allow larger or more compact applications. In a larger model <sup>107</sup> either the full register size [instead of e.g. half] is used, or two registers are used for addressing <sup>108</sup> or calculating.

<sup>100</sup> `char`'s signed/unsigned status is *implementation specific*. *Explicit casts* <sup>109</sup> should be used where *sign matters*.

<sup>101</sup> Usually the most significant bit, in *two's complement* representation.

<sup>102</sup> `void*` <sup>110</sup>

<sup>103</sup> A e.g. in `int main(void) ...`

<sup>104</sup> Leaving the function parameter list *empty*, as in `int main() ...`, as opposed to specifying it `void`, was historically used to leave the parameter list *unspecified* <sup>111</sup>.

<sup>105</sup> A e.g. in `(void)printf("hello, world\n");`, to indicate one is not interested in a function call's *return value* [but only in its *side-effect(s)*].

<sup>106</sup> The alternative *unicode* is supported by a larger, derived data type, `wchar_t` [often a [unsigned] `short` (size 2 bytes)].

<sup>107</sup> Such *models* can either be *mixed* [in an application or library <sup>7</sup>, or different-model libraries can be used together], in which case often additional data modifier keywords <sup>112</sup> are provided, or such memory models can't be *mixed* [and hence e.g. can only run in *different* processes].

<sup>108</sup> *Two register addressing* likely requires *segmented* memory layout.

<sup>109</sup> E.g. in `printf("%02x", (int)(unsigned char)c);`, to suppress unwanted sign extension.

<sup>110</sup> Which of course cannot be dereferenced.

<sup>111</sup> A *unspecified* function parameter list was [historically] used to just specify the function's *return value type*, not its parameter types.

<sup>112</sup> Such *proprietary* [platform specific] keywords typically start with `_` or `__` <sup>113</sup>.

<sup>113</sup> Which makes them *system-internal* identifiers or keywords [by C definition <sup>114</sup>].

<sup>114</sup> To separate at least the *namespace* of the *C environment implementation* from the user namespace.

# Composite data types

C allows *data structures* (`struct`), *overlapping* data structures (`union`), enumerated *integer constants* (`enum`) and *type name aliases* [115](#) (`typedef`). Composite data types are, together with pointers and arrays, called *derived data types*.

[Data] *definition modifiers* are `auto` [116](#) (non-static (stack) local variable), `const` (read-only variable or variable's read-only contents [117](#)) [118](#), `extern` (data *declaration* instead of *definition*), `register` (hint to the optimizer), `static` (non-stack data or non-globally visible), `volatile` (anti-hint to the optimizer).

<sup>115</sup> Type aliases can be defined on primitive and composite types.

<sup>116</sup> `auto` is the *default* storage class for *local variables*.

<sup>117</sup> Depending on `const`'s position [119](#).

<sup>118</sup> `const` pointers in *function parameters* indicate that contents will not be modified, i.e. *treated read-only* [interface contract]. `const` modifier keyword on *static data* will likely locate that data in a *read-only data segment*.

<sup>119</sup> E.g. `char const* p` [120](#) vs. `char* const p` [vs. `char const* const p`] [121](#).

<sup>120</sup> `const char* p` is a synonym to `char const* p`, i.e. only `const`'s position relative to the indirection specifiers is relevant.

<sup>121</sup> There is a possible `const` modifier per *indirection*.

# Operators

*arithmetic*: `+` `-` `*` `/` `%` [addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, modulus] [122](#) [123](#)

*bit*: `&` `|` `^` `~` `<<` `>>` [and, or, exclusive-or, not, shifts]

*logical*: `&&` `||` `!` [and [124](#), or [124](#), not]

*relational*: `==` `!=` `<` `<=` `>` `>=` [equal, not equal, ...] [125](#)

*assignment*: `=` `*=` `/=` `%=` `+=` `-=` `<<=` `>>=` `&=` `|=` `^=` [126](#)

*data selection*: `.` `->` `[]` [data member selection, with prior referencing [127](#), array element selection]

*referencing/dereferencing*: `&` `*`

*function call*: `()`

*explicit conversion*: `()`

*increment/decrement*: `++` `--` [128](#)

*size of an expression/type*: `sizeof`

*ternary operator*: `?:`

*sequence operator*: `,` [129](#)

The operators have 15 levels of precedences [130](#) and left- or right-associativities.

The order of sub-expression evaluation in binary operations is not defined; exceptions are the logical operators [124](#) and the sequence operator.

<sup>122</sup> The arithmetic operators are defined for number types, whereas plus and minus are defined for *pointer arithmetic* [131](#) too.

<sup>123</sup> Mathematically associative operators are not treated *computationally associative* for reasons of [intermediate] *overflow* and *rounding*.

<sup>124</sup> *Logical and* and *or* establish *sequence points*, which makes their syntax more useful [132](#).

<sup>125</sup> The relational operators are defined for number types and pointer types [in which case the memory positions [addresses] are compared].

<sup>126</sup> The *assignment expressions* have a value too [133](#) [134](#).

<sup>127</sup> `p->m` can be written as `(*p).m` or `p[0].m`, `->` is more convenient.

<sup>128</sup> C defines *pre-* and *post* increment/decrement [to allow even terser expressions].

<sup>129</sup> The *sequence operator* is a *convenience*; to allow several sub-statements where syntactically one statement is expected. It allows *elegant* solutions.

<sup>130</sup> See [links](#) for a list of the C programming language operators ordered by precedences levels.

<sup>131</sup>  $\&(p[n])$  can be written as  $p + n$ .

<sup>132</sup> *Useful syntax* by allowing expressions such as `if (p == NULL || *p == '\0') ...`

<sup>133</sup> E.g. `a = b = c;` being `b = c;` `a = b;` [left associative].

<sup>134</sup> This is part of C's *orthogonality*.

## Runtime library

The runtime library required to implement selfcontained programs is tiny. <sup>1</sup> Standard library functions are only called explicitly [135](#) [136](#).

Even some *fundamental* functionalities used in programming, such as *input/output* [137](#) [138](#) [`stdio.h`: `fopen`, `printf`, ...], *string handling* [139](#) [`string.h`: `strcpy`, `strcmp`, ...], *conversions* [`stdlib.h`: `strtol`, `strtod`, ...], *dynamic* [140](#) *memory* [141](#) [`stdlib.h`: `malloc`, `free`, ...], are *provided in the standard library*, not in the C language [in the narrower sense] itself.

*Operating system interfaces* are provided syntactically the same way library functions are [142](#).

<sup>135</sup> This *explicitness* allows *easy* control over C code's performance.

<sup>136</sup> E.g. *structure assignments* may trigger `memcpy`.

<sup>137</sup> I.e. there is no *built-in* input/output; it's just an API [application programming interface].

<sup>138</sup> *Standard input and output* are known as `stdin` and `stdout` [also declared in `stdio.h`]; there's an additional *output channel* known as the standard error output, `stderr` [usually initially mapping to the same as `stdout`].

<sup>139</sup> The *string handling* routines [143](#) are samples of functions that may be known to a *compiler* as *intrinsic*s [inlineable functions] and expanded [directly] into [optimized] code [144](#).

<sup>140</sup> *Dynamically acquired* [at run-time only; not reserved from application start] and *dynamically sized* [data/buffer size not known at compile-time].

<sup>141</sup> Dynamic memory is bound to *reference (pointer) variables*; dereferencing allows access to the *dynamic storage* [the *heap memory*].

<sup>142</sup> Interfaces such as `open` are e.g. implemented as *stubs* that e.g. map to an interrupt/trap with an appropriate *system call* number.

<sup>143</sup> Together with *memory copying* functions, such as `memcpy`.

<sup>144</sup> E.g. `strlen("hello, world\n")` could be translated into the *compile-time constant* 13 [i.e. function call left away].

## Links

### [Frequently asked C/C++ questions \[on lrdev\]](#)

Provides answers to ISO/IEC 9899 availability, useful entry points for C programming language information, C programming language keywords, etc.

### [C programming language coding guidelines](#)

C's do's and don't's.

### [C/C++ operator precedences](#)

Precedences of the C/C++ programming languages operators.

---

URL: <http://www.lrdev.com/lr/c/c-programming-language-overview.html>

Eric Laroche, [laroche@lrdev.com](mailto:laroche@lrdev.com), Mon Apr 26 2004